ROYAL INSTITUTION OF GREAT BRITAIN WEEKLY EVENING MEETING

Friday, February 27, 1948

R. E. SLADE, M.C., D.Sc. Treasurer and Vice-President, in the Chair

LINUS C. PAULING, Sc.D., Ph.D., Hon.M.R.I. Professor of Chemistry in the California Institute of Technology

THE NATURE OF FORCES BETWEEN LARGE MOLECULES OF BIOLOGICAL INTEREST

As I look at a living organism—at one of you or myself—I see reminders of many questions that need to be answered. Not all of these questions are obviously important, nor would their answers be useful—but we want them answered, anyway. Thomas Wright in 1601 said, 'Nothing is so curious and thirsty after knowledge of dark and obscure matters as the nature of man'.—of scientists especially, he might have said.

What is skin, fingernail? How do fingernails grow? How do I feel things—how are nerves built and how do they function? How do I see things? How can I smell things, and why does benzene have one smell and iso-octane another? Why is sugar sweet and vinegar sour? How does the hæmoglobin in my blood do its job of carrying oxygen from the lungs to the tissues? How do the enzymes in my body break up the food that I eat, burn it to keep me warm and to permit me to do work, and build new tissues for me from the food fragments? Why do I catch cold when exposed through contact with an ailing person, get pneumonia, and then recover after treatment with a specific antiserum or a sulfa drug? How does penicillin carry out its wonderful function of fighting disease? Why am I immune to measles, whooping cough, poliomyelitis, small-pox, whereas some other people are not? And finally, why is it that my children, as they grow and develop, become human beings, and show characteristics similar to mine, and their mother'show have these characters been transmitted to them?

The basic answers of all of these questions are not to be found in books. Even though Chaucer said

'For out of olde feldes, as men seith, Cometh al this newe corn fro yeer to yere; And out of olde bokes, in good feith, Cometh al this newe science that men lere.'

"Dooksmatfollow ociences, ind not services broks."

PROFESSOR LINUS C. PAULING

he was before long corrected by Francis Bacon:

'Books must follow sciences, and not sciences books.'

To understand all of these great biological phenomena we need to understand atoms, and the molecules that they form by bonding together. And we must not be satisfied with an understanding of simple molecules—nitrous oxide, NNO, that Davy used to produce hysteria; benzene, C_6H_6 , discovered by Faraday; penicillin, containing 40 atoms in its molecule—we must also learn about the structure of the giant molecules in living organisms, such as insulin, with 2,000 atoms in its molecule, hæmoglobin, with about 10,000, the disease-producing viruses, a thousand times larger still.

Just 2,000 years ago the Roman poet Lucretius wrote

'Wine flows easily because its particles are smooth and round and roll easily over one another, whereas the sluggish olive oil hangs back because it is composed of particles more hooked and entangled one with another'.

This is essentially the modern point of view, expressed by Lucretius, of course, only as a surmise, whereas to us it is a fact, of which we have detailed understanding. Lucretius went beyond us in interpreting the taste also of substances in terms of the shape of their molecules, writing:

'There is this too that the liquids of honey and milk give a pleasant sensation of the tongue, when rolled in the mouth; but on the other hand, the loathsome nature of wormwood and biting centaury set the mouth awry by their noisome taste; so that you may easily know that those things which can touch the senses pleasantly are made of smooth and round bodies, but that on the other hand all things which seem to be bitter and harsh, these are held bound together with particles more hooked.'

I shall now present a detailed discussion of the shapes of molecules, and the forces between molecules, in order that you may see the extent to which this surmise too of Lucretius is substantiated.

Even though hæmoglobin is spoken of as a large molecule, the molecule is very small in comparison with our usual scale. If I look at my hand or watch a few feet away, the atoms subtend the same angle that oranges would on the surface of the moon. The molecules might thus be described as an aggregate of oranges, held together by bonds which in actual molecules are electrons circulating between the atoms. On this scale of 250,000,000-fold linear magnification the nitrous oxide

LARGE MOLECULES OF BIOLOGICAL INTEREST

molecule of Davy's laughing gas consists of three atoms in line, N-N-O, the distance between the two nitrogen atoms being about 1.12 inches and between the nitrogen and oxygen atoms 1.19 inches (in the molecule themselves 1.12 A. and 1.19 A., where one A. is 1/100,000,000 of a centimetre). Faraday's benzene molecule consists of twelve atoms, six carbon atoms arranged at the corners of a regular hexagon, with the carbon-carbon distance 1.39 A., and six hydrogen atoms, in the same plane, at the corners of a larger hexagon, the distance of each hydrogen atom to the carbon atom to which it is attached being 1.06 A. The structure of penicillin, determined by Mrs. Hodgkin and her collaborators, is also known, as are the structures of many hundreds of simpler molecules.

As yet the detailed atomic structure of no protein molecule has been determined; but the vigour of the attack that is being made and the increasing power of the marvellous methods of investigation used in structural study permit the confident hope that the next decade or two will bring the solution of this great problem.

Much information is already available, however, about the general sizes and shapes of large molecules of biological importance, and also about their function. The most striking general property of these molecules is the specificity of their activity. For example, hæmoglobin, the red protein in the red cells of the blood, has the specific property of combining with oxygen in the lungs, and then releasing the oxygen in the tissues. thus making it available for the oxidation of foods. There is no other substance known that is able to combine with oxygen and release so large a fraction of the combined oxygen reversibly with the small change in partial pressure of oxygen existing between the lungs and the tissues. Then there are the enzymes, such as those involved in the catalysis of the processes of degradation and oxidation of food stuffs. These substances all show a high degree of specificity in their action; in general, a particular enzyme serves the purpose of speeding up a particular single chemical reaction in the body.

Specificity is shown in a striking way by antibodies. These are substances produced in the living body in response to its invasion by foreign organic material, such as viruses or bacteria. They have the power of combining specifically with the material (the antigen) that led to their production, and of neutralizing or incapacitating the antigen. Thus an antitoxin is able

PROFESSOR LINUS C. PAULING

to neutralize its homologous toxin, an antibody against a virus or bacterium is able to prevent the virus or bacterium from reproducing, and thus to aid in the control of disease. An unfortunate incidental effect of this protective mechanism of the body is the occasional production of protein sensitization, leading to asthma and hay fever. Sufferers from protein sensitization, such as those people who are sensitive to egg white (ovalbumin), can testify to the specificity of the reaction and to its delicacy.

The specificity of serological reactions can be shown by simple serological tests carried out in the laboratory. Thus if a minute amount of ovalbumin is injected into a rabbit, it is found that after a week or two the serum of the rabbit's blood will produce a precipitate when mixed with a solution of ovalbumin, whereas the serum of an uninoculated rabbit will not produce such a precipitate. The precipitate contains ovalbumin from the ovalbumin solution that is added to the serum, and antibody molecules from the serum of the rabbit. These antibody molecules are protein molecules, with molecular weight about 160,000, corresponding to the presence in the molecule of about 20,000 atoms. These anti-ovalbumin molecules have the power of combining with molecules of ovalbumin, but in general not with molecules of other substances. Only if another substance is extremely closely similar in its structure to the ovalbumin with which the rabbit was originally injected can the antibody combine with it. Thus a precipitate, somewhat smaller in amount than with hen ovalbumin, is formed by antibodies produced by hen ovalbumin when mixed with a solution of duck ovalbumin, but no precipitate is formed when these antibodies are mixed with a solution of ovalbumin from the eggs of birds of other species.

Dr. Karl Landsteiner showed by his experiments that animals are able to manufacture antibodies specific to chemical groups that do not exist in nature. The power of formation of antibodies able to combine only with an injected antigen is hence not a power that was developed in the course of evolution, in response to interaction with this antigen at some earlier age, and in a particular individual called into operation by the inoculating injection, but is instead a general power, which enables the animal to cope with a foreign material, even of completely foreign nature to the animal and its ancestors throughout its course of evolution.

I became interested in the problem of the structure of

LARGE MOLECULES OF BIOLOGICAL INTEREST

antibodies in 1936, as the result of conversations with Dr. Landsteiner. I found that the complex phenomena of immunology could be clarified and brought into order by a theory of the structure of antibodies based upon the idea of the folding of the basic polypeptide chain of the protein precursor of the antibody into the most stable of the configurations accessible to it. This theory of the structure and process of formation of antibodies involves the acceptance of the suggestion that antibody and antigen have molecular structures that are mutually complementary, originally made by Breinl and Haurowitz, Jerome Alexander, and Stuart Mudd. If the molecule of gamma globulin that might become an antibody is considered to consist of a chain that might fold up into any one of a large number of configurations, with essentially the same stability, then in the presence of a molecule of antigen that configuration would be selected which is stabilized by interaction with the antigen. The configuration that would be stabilized is the one in which there is the greatest force of attraction between the folded polypeptide chain and the molecule of antigen, and this greatest force of attraction would result from the assumption by the folding polypeptide chain of a configuration which permits the parts of the chain to approach as closely as possible to a portion of the surface of the antigen molecule, which also brings positive charges in the chain into close proximity to negative charges in the antigen, and brings hydrogen-bond-forming groups in the chain into juxtaposition with their complementary hydrogen-bond-forming groups in the antigen. A very high degree of specificity can be obtained if the surface area over which the complementariness of structure is exercised is great enough to include a good number of interacting structural units.

Experiments carried out with antibodies and antigens have shown that the configuration of the antibody molecules is very closely complementary to that of the surface of the homologous antigen; the antibody reflects or reproduces, in a negative way, the shape of the surface of the immunizing antigen to within about I A., that is, to within about one-half or one-quarter of the diameter of an atom. The forces that lead to the production of the specific bonds between antibody and antigen are thus interatomic forces that operate between atoms essentially in contact with one another, and are not long-range specific forces of attraction operating through great distances (on the atomic scale) through space.

5

PROFESSOR LINUS C. PAULING

There is a highly specific phenomenon of the chemistry of simpler substances that is closely analogous in its nature and its cause to the highly specific phenomenon of serological interaction; namely, the phenomenon of crystallization. Chemists are accustomed to using the process of crystallization as a method of purification: a crystal growing in a complex mixture of molecules is able to select from the mixture just the molecules of one kind, rejecting all others. Thus pure crystals of sugar may deposit from a jam in which there are molecules of thousands of different substances. The specificity of crystallization is the result of the same striving toward complementariness and the operation of the same interatomic and intermolecular forces that are responsible for the specificity of antibodies. A molecular crystal has the structure that gives it the greatest stability, which would result from the maximum amount of attraction for each molecule in the crystal and the surrounding molecules. Each molecule in the crystal is then in a cavity that conforms in shape to the shape of the molecule itself. The molecule may be described as complementary in structure to the remainder of the crystal, and other molecules, with different shape and structure, would not fit into this cavity nearly so well, and in general would not be incorporated in the growing crystal. Hence we may say that life has borrowed from inanimate processes the same basic mechanism used in producing these striking structures that are crystals, with their beautiful plane faces, their unfailingly constant interfacial angles, and their wonderfully complex geometrical forms.

I believe that the same mechanism, dependent on a detailed complementariness in molecular structure, is responsible for all biological specificity. I think that enzymes are molecules that are complementary in structure to the activated complexes of the reactions that they catalyze, that is, to the molecular configuration that is intermediate between the reacting substances and the products of reaction for these catalyzed processes. The attraction of the enzyme molecule for the activated complex would thus lead to a decrease in its energy, and hence to a decrease in the energy of activation of the reaction and to an increase in the rate of the reaction. Although convincing evidence is not yet at hand, I believe that it will be found that the highly specific powers of selfduplication shown by genes and viruses are due to the same intermolecular forces, dependent upon atomic contact, and the same processes of replica-formation through complementariness

LARGE MOLECULES OF BIOLOGICAL INTEREST

in structure as are operative in the formation of antibodies under the influence of an antigen. I believe that it is molecular size and shape, on the atomic scale, that are of primary importance in these phenomena, rather than the ordinary chemical properties of the substances, involving their power of entering into reactions in which ordinary chemical bonds are broken and formed.

Even though the general picture of some important biological processes is becoming clear, our present knowledge of the detailed structure of the complex substances of biological importance is vague. We may expect that as more precise information about the structure of these molecules is obtained in the future a more penetrating understanding of biological reactions will develop, and that this understanding will lead to great progress in the fields of biology and medicine.

[L.C.P.]